

AUDIT COMMITTEE

23RD MARCH 2018

CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

Purpose:

To receive the Corporate Risk Register

Recommendations / key decisions required:

- To receive the Corporate Risk Register.
- To ensure that the Corporate Risk Register continues to be submitted to Audit Committee.

Reasons:

To ensure that the Audit Committee are fully apprised of the Corporate Risks.

Relevant scrutiny committee to be consulted:

Not Applicable – Review and monitoring of the Corporate Risk Register is delegated to Audit Committee in line with the Terms of Reference of the Audit Committee.

Exec Board Decision Required

Not Applicable – Function of Audit Committee

Council Decision Required

Not Applicable – Function of Audit Committee

EXECUTIVE BOARD MEMBER PORTFOLIO HOLDER:- Councillor David Jenkins

Directorate

Name of Head of Service:

Helen Pugh

Report Author: Helen Pugh

Designations:

Head of Revenues and
Financial Compliance

Tel Nos.

01267 246223

E Mail Addresses:

HLPugh@carmarthenshire.gov.uk

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT COMMITTEE

23rd MARCH 2018

CORPORATE RISK REGISTER

The Council has a mature approach to Risk Management and has maintained a Corporate Risk Register for some years. The Corporate Assessment undertaken by Wales Audit Office concluded that a clear framework is in place to manage corporate and project risks with dedicated senior political and officer leadership and risk champions at departmental level. Risks are overseen by a Risk Management Steering Group which includes political representation from the Council's Executive.

The Corporate Assessment recommended that the Corporate Risk Register as approved by CMT should be shared with the Audit Committee.

Arrangements will be put in place to ensure that the Corporate Risk Register is also taken to Preliminary Executive Board.

The Authority have utilised the Services of an External Risk Consultant (Zurich Risk Consulting) to provide external challenge. The services were provided at no additional cost to the Authority given Zurich Municipal provide the Authority's Insurance Liability Cover. The external challenge proved useful to ensure that the risks were strategic in nature and the scoring was sound and evidenced.

The Authority operate a 5x5 Scoring System with a maximum potential score of 25 (i.e. 5x5). The scoring methodology for both Impact and Likelihood is attached.

DETAILED REPORT ATTACHED ?

YES (Corporate Risk Register and Scoring Matrix)

IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been agreed with the appropriate Directors / Heads of Service and are referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report :

Signed: Helen Pugh

Head of Revenues and Financial Compliance

| Policy, Crime & Disorder and Equalities | Legal | Finance | ICT | Risk Management Issues | Staffing Implications | Physical Assets |
|---|-------|---------|------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| NONE | NONE | NONE | NONE | YES | NONE | NONE |

1. Risk Management Issues

The Authority maintains a Corporate Risk Register to evaluate its exposure to key strategic risks. The Corporate Assessment recommended that the Corporate Risk Register should be shared with the Audit Committee. The Register will be reviewed by the Audit Committee. Arrangements will be put in place to ensure that the Corporate Risk Register is also brought to Preliminary Executive Board.

CONSULTATIONS

I confirm that the appropriate consultations have taken in place and the outcomes are as detailed below

Signed: Helen Pugh

Head of Revenues and Financial Compliance

- 1. Scrutiny Committee: Not Applicable**
- 2. Local Member(s) Not Applicable**
- 3. Community / Town Council Not Applicable**
- 4. Relevant Partners Not Applicable**
- 5. Staff Side Representatives and other Organisations Not Applicable**

Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 – Access to Information
List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:
THERE ARE NONE