	Risk Matrix	L Diak													
Probability	Almost Certain Low (4) Medium (8) Hi (1) Likely Low (3) Medium (6) Medium (9) Possible Low (2) Low Medium (4) Medium (6)) (16) um High (12) um Mediur		ERW Risk Register									March 2016 (Final)		
	Unlikely Low Low Lo (1) (2) (3	v Low (4)				Central									
	Low Medium Hig	h Extrem				Inherent Ris	k								
Risk Reference	Nature / Description of Risk Risk Owner	Probability	Impact	Risk Score	Actions to Mitigate Risk	Transfer detail (if necessary and date)	Additional Detail	LA / Hub	Escalation from LA detail (if necessary and date)	Following Mitigation			Date appear on ERW Register	Date taken off ERW Register	
						,,				Probability	Impact	Risk Score			
1	Failure to comply or act on Internal Managing Director and Audit recommendations Section 151 Officer	Likely	Medium	6	Tracking progress against recommendations and have track record of swift actions on previous recommendations. This aspect is low risk. Treat and Mitigate Further action between PCC and SCC to manage new risks. Further action to receive quarterly assurance from each LA. Better communication between directors and finance staff in own LA.	N/A	Swansea LA qualifications in Audit Report and potential WG claw back 14-19. Internal audit report notes issue countinues to be a risk - sample size small In grants testing.	Swansea	N/A	Possible	Medium	4	March 2015		
2	Local Government Reorganisation 2 may result in LAs being placed in Lead Leader different Consortiums-		Medium	÷	Would require planning to- accept a new partner or agree an Tolerate exit strategy for existing partner. This is long term and preparation time will be sufficient.	Wionitor on-going issues in	ERW work is planned and monitored with LGR- considerations. Suggest taking off register as risk is lower- now	s N/A	N/A	Unlikely	Medium	£	March 2015	Mar 16	
3	Estyn visits result in LAs being placed in follow up / special measures or requiring further attention	Likely	High	9	Robust self evaluation and monitoring at LA level, with regional strategies to support. Transfer to 3rd Party / LA Pembrokeshire support network established by ERW by mutual consent. Review of evidence work reaims of concern.	Place on Pembrokeshire Risk Register	Email sent	Pembrokeshire	N/A	Likely	High	9	March 2015		
4	Contributory LAs do not fulfil their- commitment as outlined in the Directors / MD Functions Framework	Unlikely	Medium	2	Clear expectations of services- and standards to be delivered, in Treat and Monitor line with Legal Agreement.			N/A	N/A	Unlikely	Łow	1	March 2015	0ct 15	
5	Inspection of Region or any single LA finds less than adequate standards, provision or leadership	Likely	High	9	alternative support lead ChAd for schools causing concern required in LA. all alliance members tke ownership on detaila and accountability. Treat and Mitigate Effective BP in place. Clear plan for improvement planning, but heightned urgency pre inspection. Taken swift effective	All	Risk for all LAs but specifically Pembrokeshire, where pace of improvement has not been good enough and high proprtion of secondaries causing concern.		N/A	Unlikely	Medium	2	March 2015		
6	Insufficient capacity of Central Team and Challenge Adviser Team to deliver Business Plan to high standard	Likely	High	9	Effective planning Central Team capacity to coordinate and facilitate change. position remains same and is critical Discuss with all Directors 24/07/15. All agreed capacity and restructure of Central Team. Improved planning and training on key workload issues. Challenege adviser capacity agreed to maintain at full Sept 2015	N/A	Review leads to need to reaffirm frm all LAs the commitment as set out in legal agreement Joint committee paper on camparing regions is likely to raise issue and further action is likley. Central team caacity discussion with LD 14/10/16		N/A	Likely	High	9	March 2015		

		Risk N																
Probability	Almost Certain Likely Possible	Low (4) Low (3) Low	Medium (8) Medium (6) Low	High (12) Medium (9) Medium	(12) n Mediur	n			ERW Risk	Register						March 2016 (Final)		
	Unlikely	(2) Low (1)	(4) Low (2)	(6) Low (3)	(8) Low (4)				Central									
		Low	Medium	High	Extrem				Inherent Risk									
Risk Reference	Nature / Descr	iption of Risk	f Risk Risk Owner		Probability	Impact	Risk Score		Actions to Mitigate Risk	Transfer detail (if necessary and date)	Additional Detail	LA / Hub	Escalation from LA detail (if necessary and date)	Following Mitigation			Date appear on ERW Register	Date taken off ERW Register
										(in necessary and date)			(in necessary and date)	Probability	Impact	Risk Score		
7	Governance and Le ERW found to be ir securing consistent across all LAs by Es	effective at improvement	Managing Di Directors Monitoring	and	Possible	High	6	Treat and Mitigate	Evidence of effective communication, planning and accountability. Impact on outcomes is clear. Remaining risk is system knowledge by external stakeholders. Action by LA to respond to identified risks in Register		Additional comms briefing scheduled. Review paper on effectiveness of governance underway	N/A	N/A	Possible	Low	2	March 2015	
8	Support and interv with local plans an not lead to improv	d strategies do	Lead Chief Exe Director, Ma Director an Corporate Lea Team	naging d PCC adership	Likely	High	9	Treat and Mitigate	of plans - work to action	transfer to LA register necessary	agreement between MD and Director as to support for all key schools causing cocnern. Remains concern n revieiwing support proviced for key schools	Pembs		likely	High		October 2015	
9	Failure to address o areas of ERW BP	or implement key	MD / Chairs oi Boards		likely	High	3	Treat	Focus on bringing pace to groups through effective minutes/actions.increased central capacity to monitor quality and actions/ focus. Target additional support for Support for Learning Groups.		All alliance members should adhere to agreed code of conduct, BP actions and Legal agreement. Capacity issues in central team, and capacity of LAs to respond to Legal Agreement commitment and SLAs	N/A		likely	Medium	2	March 2015	