DYFED-POWYS POLICE AND CRIME PANEL 28/01/2022

2022-2023 Police Precept

Recommendations / key decisions required:

To review the precept proposed by the Commissioner and thereafter report to the Commissioner in respect of that proposal.

Reasons:

Schedule 5 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 places a statutory duty upon the Panel to carry out this task.

Report Author:

Cllr. Keith Evans

Robert Edgecombe

Legal Services Manager

E Mail Address:

rjedgeco@carmarthenshire.gov.uk



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY DYFED – POWYS POLICE AND CRIME PANEL 28/01/2022

2022-2023 Police Precept

Schedule 5 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 provides that the Police and Crime Commissioner cannot issue a precept under section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for a financial year until the Panel has reviewed the proposed precept and reported to the Commissioner upon the proposal. Any such report to the Commissioner may include recommendations, including a recommendation as to the precept which the Panel believes should be issued. The Panel may, having reviewed the proposed precept, choose to veto it. Such a course of action must be supported by two-thirds of the total membership of the Panel. If the Panel does choose to veto the proposed precept the report to the Commissioner must contain a statement that the Panel has vetoed the precept. Where the panel does veto the precept, the Commissioner must not issue the proposed precept and must, having regard to the report issued by the Panel, respond to that report and publish that response by the 15th February 2021. Regulations also require the Commissioner to indicate in that response the revised precept he proposes to issue. The Panel then must, within the timescale prescribed by the 2011 Act, review the revised precept and report to the Commissioner upon it. That report may indicate whether the Panel accepts or rejects the revised precept (and may make recommendations upon it). The Commissioner must consider the further report from the Panel and respond to it. That response must also be published. However, the rejection by the Panel of a revised precept does not amount to a veto. Where the Panel rejects the revised precept the Commissioner may still proceed to issue the revised precept despite that rejection.

Where the Panel does not veto the precept, the Commissioner must respond to the report to the Panel and publish that response, but may proceed to issue the precept (or a different precept where to do so would be in accordance with recommendations contained within the report issued by the Panel)

The Panel has identified this matter as one of its priorities and established a subgroup led by Cllr. Keith Evans to consider this issue in more detail on its behalf. A detailed report from the Commissioner setting out his proposed precept and his justification for it is attached to this report

DETAILED REPORT	YES
ATTACHED?	



IMPLICATIONS

I confirm that other than those implications which have been referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report:

Signed: R J Edgecombe (Lead Officer to the Panel)

Policy, Crime & Disorder and Equalities	Legal	Finance	ICT	Risk Management Issues	Staffing Implications	Physical Assets
NONE	YES	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE	NONE

LEGAL

Failure to review the precept and report to the Commissioner in accordance with the requirements of the 2011 Act will be a breach of the Panel's statutory duties.

Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 – Access to Information List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report: THESE ARE DETAILED BELOW

Title of Document	File Ref No.	Locations that the papers are available for public inspection
Host Authority File	PACP- 044 and 047	County Hall, Carmarthen

