

Appendix A – Summary of Representations and Officer Recommendations for the Ammanford LDO

1. Rob Chapman – Dyfed Powys Police

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO).

Response: Support welcomed.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None.

Recommendation: No change to LDO or supporting evidence

2. Carl Daniels

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO).

Response: Support welcomed.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None.

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence

3. Eric Llewellyn

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO).

Response: Support welcomed.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None.

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

4. Louise Megrath

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO) but hopes the town doesn't get filled with one type of shop.

Response: Support welcomed.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None.

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

5. Jeff Bowen

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO) but raises some concerns, those concerns being that he does not want to see more charity shops and betting shops

Response: Support welcomed

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

6. Katie Lewis

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO) and wants to make sure it is reviewed accordingly with the possibility to extend or renew

Response: Support welcomed, there is scope for the Council to review and extend the LDO period if it is deemed appropriate.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

7. Heddwyn Morgan

Summary: Respondent objects to the Local Development Order (LDO) stating he wishes taxpayers money be spent elsewhere. Respondent also states that the best thing that could happen to the town is to re-open Quay street as a one-way system. Respondent also expresses a need for a community group of sorts to help with some of the issues.

Response: Noted, the policy justification for the introduction of the LDO is robust and based upon credible evidence as set out within the statement of reasons.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

8. Joe Bloggs

Summary: Respondent objects to the Local Development Order (LDO). No additional comments.

Response: Noted, the policy justification for the introduction of the LDO is robust and based upon credible evidence as set out within the statement of reasons.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

9. James Beckett

Summary: Respondent supports the Local Development Order (LDO) although he would like the period of the LDO to be longer.

Response: Support welcomed, there is scope for the Council to extend the LDO period if it is deemed necessary.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

10. Joshua Jones

Summary: Respondent generally supports the Local Development Order but has some observations on how the LDO could go further in addressing some of the issues regarding making the town centre unique and bringing in unique retailers. Mainly in reference to retail competition, rental agreements and use of public spaces.

Response: Noted, the policy justification for the introduction of the LDO is robust and based upon credible evidence as set out within the statement of reasons. The LDO is designed to streamline the planning process, this reduces costs and in turn makes it an easier process for all types of retailers. A number of these proposals would fall outside the LDO's remit.

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

11. Homes and Safer Communities – Carmarthenshire County Council

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO).

Response: Support welcomed

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

12. Devonald Jones

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO).

Response: Support welcomed

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence

13. Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO). Respondent welcomes the inclusion of conditions 13 and 14.

Response: Support welcomed

Any implications on supporting evidence: None

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence

14. Natural Resources Wales (NRW)

Summary: The respondent supports the proposed Local Development Order (LDO) but has some comments to make regarding bats and the effect internal works may have on roosting bats. Respondent also notes that Technical Advice Note 15 and the Development Advice Maps are due to be updated soon and this may have implications on the LDO area.

Response: Comments noted, and support welcomed

Any implications on supporting evidence: None. Scope to reappraise the LDO in line with changes to National Policy.

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.

15. The Coal Authority

Summary: Coal Authority records indicate that there are no coal mining legacy features present at surface or shallow depth within the Ammanford Town Centre area. No comment to make.

Response: Noted.

Any implications on supporting evidence: No

Recommendations: No change to LDO or supporting evidence.